



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,047	08/26/2003	Bill H. McAnalley	23100.61	3228

27683 7590 03/16/2009

HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP

IP Section

2323 Victory Avenue

Suite 700

Dallas, TX 75219

EXAMINER

MOSS, KERI A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1797

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/16/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/648,047	Applicant(s) MCANALLEY ET AL.	
	Examiner KERI A. MOSS	Art Unit 1797	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KERI A. MOSS. (3) ____.

(2) Attorney Randall Brown. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 10 March 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: Attorney Randy Brown pointed out Figure 2 and paragraph [0031] of the instant application.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,5,8,11-22 and 36.

Identification of prior art discussed: Fleischner in view of Brand et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney Randall discussed the unexpected results of the instantly claimed invention, as demonstrated by Figure 2 and paragraph [0031] of the instant specification. Examiner Moss recommended providing evidence of the expected results of the prior art in order to show that the instant application demonstrates unexpected results. Citation was made to MPEP 716.02(a)(I).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Keri A. Moss/ Examiner, Art Unit 1797	/Arlen Soderquist/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797
---	---